

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/687,546	STROBEL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Deborah K. Ware	1651	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** After Final

(1) Deborah K. Ware.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Karri Kuenzli Bradley, Ph.D..

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 April 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*35 USC 112, first paragraph-deposit issue*

Claims discussed:

*claims 1-12*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Strobel et al (6911338)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicants' Representative provided a statement that they provide appropriate deposit information as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment and further authorized changes by Examiner's Amendment to put the claims into condition for allowance*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)